

# Semiclassical Gravitational Effects in the Spacetime of a Magnetic Flux Cosmic String

M. E. X. Guimarães

Department of Physics and Astronomy

University of Wales, College of Cardiff

PO Box 913, Cardiff CF2 3YB, UK

## Abstract

It is well-known that some physical effects may arise in the spacetime of a straight cosmic string due to its global conic properties. Among these effects, the vacuum polarization effect has been extensively studied in the literature. In papers of reference [4] a more general situation has been considered in which the cosmic string carries a magnetic flux  $\Phi$  and interacts with a charged scalar field. In this case, the vacuum polarization arises both via non-trivial gravitational interaction (i.e, the conical structure) and via Aharonov-Bohm interaction. In papers [4] the non vanishing VEV of the energy-momentum tensor of the scalar field were computed. However, this energy-momentum tensor should, in principle, be taken into account to determine the spacetime associated with the magnetic flux cosmic string. Using the

semiclassical approach to the Einstein eqs. we find the first-order (in  $\hbar$ ) metric associated to the cosmic string and we show that the gravitational force resulting from the backreaction of the  $\langle T_\nu^\mu \rangle$  is attractive or repulsive depending on whether the magnetic flux is absent or present, respectively.

In General Relativity, a static, straight axially symmetric cosmic string is described by the metric [1]

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + dz^2 + d\rho^2 + B^2\rho^2 d\varphi^2 \quad (1)$$

in cylindrical coordinates  $(t, z, \rho, \varphi)$  such that  $\rho \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$ . The constant  $B$  is related to the linear mass density  $\mu$  of the string:  $B = 1 - 4\mu$ . (We work in the system of units in which  $G = c = 1$  and  $\hbar \sim 2.612 \times 10^{-66}$ ). For GUT strings,  $\mu$  is of order  $\mu \sim 10^{22}$  g/cm. As it is well-known, metric (1) is locally but not globally flat and may be written in a Minkowskian form with azimuthal deficit angle  $\Delta = 8\pi\mu$  [2]. Although the cosmic string does not exert gravitational force on test particles, some physical effects may arise due solely to the global conic geometry. One of these effects - the vacuum polarization - has been extensively studied in the literature [3, 4]. In papers of reference [4] a more general situation has been considered in which the cosmic string carries a magnetic flux  $\Phi$  and interacts with a charged scalar field placed in the metric (1). In this case, the vacuum polarization effect arises not only via non-trivial gravitational interaction (i.e, the conical structure) but also via Aharonov-Bohm interaction. In papers [4] the non-vanishing VEV of the energy-momentum tensor for the scalar field in the fixed background (1) were computed. However, this non-vanishing energy-

momentum tensor should be taken into account to determine the spacetime metric associated with the magnetic flux cosmic string. This is the purpose of the present work. Throughout this paper we will work in the framework of the semiclassical approach to the Einstein eqs.  $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi\langle T_{\mu\nu}\rangle$  and we will treat this problem using the perturbative approach as in Hiscock's paper [5]. In this approach, the first-order (in  $\hbar$ )  $\langle T_{\mu\nu}\rangle$  is treated as a matter perturbation of the zeroth-order metric (1) and it can be used to compute the first-order metric perturbation associated to it by solving the linearized Einstein's eqs. about the zeroth-order metric. In the present case, there will be contributions from both the non-trivial gravitational and the Aharonov-Bohm interactions.

We start by rewriting the  $\langle T_\nu^\mu\rangle$  of a massless, charged scalar field given in [4] as

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T_t^t\rangle &= \langle T_z^z\rangle = \frac{\hbar}{\rho^4}[A(\gamma) + B(\gamma)] \\ \langle T_\rho^\rho\rangle &= -\frac{1}{3}\langle T_\varphi^\varphi\rangle = \frac{\hbar}{\rho^4}[A(\gamma) - \frac{1}{2}B(\gamma)]\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

in terms of the dimensionless quantities

$$\begin{aligned}A(\gamma) &\equiv \omega_4(\gamma) - \frac{1}{3}\omega_2(\gamma) \\ B(\gamma) &\equiv 4(\xi - \frac{1}{6})\omega_2(\gamma)\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

where the constants  $\omega_2(\gamma)$  and  $\omega_4(\gamma)$  are defined in [4] (see, for instance, expressions (7.11) and (7.12) in Guimarães and Linet) and  $\gamma$  is the fractional part of  $\{\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0}\}$ ,  $\Phi_0$  is the quantum flux  $\Phi_0 = 2\pi\hbar/e$ .  $\gamma$  lies in the domain  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ ,  $\gamma = 0$  represents the case where the magnetic flux is absent.

The  $\langle T_\nu^\mu \rangle$  above is linear in  $\hbar$  and its dimensionality is  $[L]^{-2}$ . We can now attempt to solve the semiclassical Einstein's equations  $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi\langle T_{\mu\nu} \rangle$  at linearized level to obtain the first-order metric perturbation associated to the backreaction of the  $\langle T_\nu^\mu \rangle$  (2). We follow here the same approach as Hiscock in paper [5] and we set a static, cylindrically symmetric metric in general form

$$ds^2 = e^{2\Phi(\rho)}(-dt^2 + dz^2 + d\rho^2) + e^{2\Psi(\rho)}d\varphi^2, \quad (4)$$

where  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are functions of  $\rho$  only. Expanding this metric about the background metric we obtain the linearized Einstein eqs. with source (2). The general solutions for these equations can be easily found [6] and the exterior metric (corrected at first-order in  $\hbar$ ) of the magnetic flux cosmic string is then obtained<sup>1</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & \left[ 1 - 4\pi \frac{\hbar}{r^2} [A(\gamma) - \frac{1}{2}B(\gamma)] \right] (-dt^2 + dz^2) + dr^2 \\ & + (1 - 4\mu)^2 r^2 \left[ 1 + 16\pi \frac{\hbar}{r^2} [A(\gamma) + \frac{1}{4}B(\gamma)] \right] d\varphi^2 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The first consequence is the appearance of a non vanishing gravitational force on a massive test particle. Using the definitions (3), we can obtain expressions for the gravitational force for both the minimal ( $\xi = 0$ ) and conformal ( $\xi = 1/6$ ) couplings

$$\begin{aligned} f^r &= -4\pi \frac{\hbar}{r^3} \omega_4(\gamma) \\ f^r &= -4\pi \frac{\hbar}{r^3} [\omega_4(\gamma) - \frac{1}{3}\omega_2(\gamma)], \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>We make here a change of variables  $r = \rho + 2\pi \frac{\hbar}{\rho} [A(\gamma) - \frac{1}{2}B(\gamma)]$  such that the new radial coordinate measures now the proper radius from the string.

respectively. The first-order corrections to the deficit angle are also obtained. For both the minimal and conformal couplings it has the following expressions

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\varphi &= 8\pi\mu - (1 - 4\mu)16\pi^2\frac{\hbar}{r^2}[\omega_4(\gamma) - \frac{1}{2}\omega_2(\gamma)] \\ \Delta\varphi &= 8\pi\mu - (1 - 4\mu)16\pi^2\frac{\hbar}{r^2}[\omega_4(\gamma) - \frac{1}{3}\omega_2(\gamma)],\end{aligned}$$

respectively.

Let us first analyse the sign of the gravitational force. In the case where there is no magnetic flux ( $\gamma = 0$ ) the gravitational force is always *attractive* for both minimal and conformal couplings. However, when the magnetic flux is present it is easy to see that the gravitational force is *repulsive* for both minimal and conformal couplings. Considering now the deficit angle, again the behaviour changes whereas the magnetic flux is present or not. When it is absent, the deficit angle *increases* (*decreases*) as  $r \rightarrow 0$  for minimally (conformally) coupled scalar field. When the magnetic flux is present, the deficit angle *decreases* (*increases*) as  $r \rightarrow 0$  for minimally (conformally) coupled scalar field. Thus, it seems clear from these analysis that the Aharonov-Bohm interaction dominates over the gravitational interaction. This confirms previous statement by Alford and Wilczek [7], though in slightly different context.

## References

- [1] J. R. Gott III, *Ap. J.* **288**, 422 (1985); W. A. Hiscock, *Phys. Rev. D* **31**, 3288 (1985); B. Linet, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **17**, 1109 (1985).
- [2] A. Vilenkin, *Phys. Rev. D* **23**, 852 (1981).

- [3] T. M. Helliwell and D. A. Konkowski, *Phys. Rev. D* **34**, 1918 (1986); B. Linet, *Phys. Rev. D* **35**, 536 (1987); A. G. Smith, *The Formation and Evolution of Cosmic Strings*, eds. G. W. Gibbons, S. W. Hawking and T. Vaschaspati (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press) p 680 (1990).
- [4] J. S. Dowker, *J. Phys. A* **10**, 115 (1977); *Phys. Rev. D* **36**, 3095 (1987); V. P. Frolov and E. M. Serebrianyi, *Phys. Rev. D* **35**, 3779 (1987); M. E. X. Guimarães and B. Linet, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **165**, 297 (1994).
- [5] W. A. Hiscock, *Phys. Lett.* **B188**, 317 (1987).
- [6] M. E. X. Guimarães, *in preparation* (1996) .
- [7] M. G. Alford and F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 1071 (1989).